# Here to Stay

A Policy Blueprint for Anti-Displacement in Fresno

Public Comment Preliminary Findings | October 12, 2021



#### Transform Fresno Displacement Avoidance Consultant

- Is displacement currently taking place in the Transform Fresno Project Area?
  - If so, what is the cause?
  - If not, what is the probability of future displacement occurring in the Transform Fresno Project Area?
- What are the potential factors that would contribute to continued or future displacement?
- What are potential steps to mitigate further or future displacement?
- Include policy recommendations, strategies, and other tools that can prevent or mitigate displacement.





#### **Our Method**

- Define the issue and acknowledge the limitations COVID19, Rapid Renewal, History
- Shared framework for what we mean when we say "displacement"
  - Direct Displacement vs. Indirect Displacement
  - Phases of Displacement (Pioneering, Speculation, Settling, Multilateral Gentrification)
- Archival and historical research
- Social Climate Analysis
- Community Engagement
  - Public meetings, canvassing, transformative justice sessions, and stakeholder interviews



#### **Policy Design Process**

The key elements of a harm-reduction strategy for policies rooted in displacement intervention include:

- The policy, on its own or in combination with another policy, addresses a specific element of harm identified through research and stakeholder perspectives
- The policy or the implementation plan strives to identify a specific and intentional recipient of issue-specific, direct intervention
- The eligibility/qualifying factor does not pose an additional burden or barrier that would contribute to new or additional displacement
- The policy and the people who implement the policy intend to create a permanent redress for the impacts of past harmful planning practices

#### **Policy Design Process**

- Priority focus areas informed by community feedback as well as an industry scan
  - Community Ownership
  - Accountability and Transparency
  - Socially Responsible Development Practices
  - Transportation and Connectivity
  - Regulations and Protections
  - Direct Services and Alternatives to Eviction
  - Dignified Housing and Legacy Considerations
- Policies inspired by community policy design labs





#### **Policy Recommendation Formula**

- Who is most at-risk?
  - Based on our Social Climate Analysis and Resident Interviews, we identified eight populations (Risk Focus Areas) who are experiencing the most displacement burden at this time:
    - Aging Adults
    - People with Disabilities
    - Young Adults
    - Veterans and People Returning Home from Institutionalization
    - Farmworkers and People with Documentation Challenges
    - Third Generation Black Households
    - Southeast Asian Residents
    - Community Advocates





#### **Policy Recommendation Formula**

- How soon can we take action?
  - We then took the list of policies and assessed the type of logistical, administrative and political steps that would need to be taken in order to implement the recommendations. The policies that were most likely to have feasible near-term implementation ranked higher than the others.
- Will the policy fix more than on issue?
  - An assessment of whether or not the recommendation could meet objectives beyond antidisplacement. An example of added functions would be a policy that is recommended as a displacement avoidance measure but could also potentially improve economic vitality in a community. For this ranking, we ranked policies that could be expressed as direct and intentional acts of atonement higher than the other possible functions of the policies.



#### **Policy Recommendation Formula**

- How much funding potential does the policy have?
  - The fourth layer of prioritization was an assessment of what it would take to build a strong argument for the policy if we needed to seek funding for its implementation. Recommendations that had strong alignment with existing programs or had known potential funding streams ranked higher than others.



#### Here to Stay Public Comment Period

- A four-part virtual public workshop series
- Canvassing
- Hardcopy pickup locations
- Phone banking
- Multiple comment deadline extensions
- Mailers
- General public comment and letter submissions
- Digital and hardcopy survey submissions





thrivance

# Public Comment Response

#### **Total Responses**

- Manual surveys Approximately 50
- Digital surveys 122 submitted through the Here to Stay portal
- Community Based Organization letter submissions Approximately 7
  - Membership bases and direct service networks impacting nearly 3500 residents
- Corporate letter submissions 2
  - Representing approximately 200 individuals with private interest in the subject matter




Quantitative Summary of Digital Surveys Only

\*\*\*An analysis of open-ended comments, letter submissions, and manual surveys will be included in the final report



Who responded?




AGE



thrivonce

Who responded?



**GENDER** 




thrivance

Quantitative Summary of Digital Surveys Only

### RACIAL REPRESENTATION



Who responded?




RACE (incl. multiple responses per person)



thrivance GDOUP

Who responded?
RACIAL REPRESENTATION ANALYSIS

White or Caucasian 37%




Quantitative Summary of Digital Surveys Only


# POLICY PRIORITIZATION RACIALIZED ANALYSIS



Policy Preferences Selected by Majority of Respondents (raw total)




Policy Preferences Selected by Majority of Black Respondents (percentage)






Policy Preferences
Selected by Majority of
American Indian
Respondents
(percentage)






Policy Preferences
Selected by Majority of
Hispanic/Latino
Respondents
(percentage)






Policy Preferences Selected by Majority of White Respondents (percentage)





Policy Preferences
Selected by Majority of
Asialn/Pacific Islander
Respondents
(percentage)








Quantitative Summary of Digital Surveys Only

### POLICY PRIORITIZATION

**GENERAL ANALYSIS** 




Policy Preferences Selected by Majority of Respondents (raw total)






Policy Preferences Selected by 33-50% of Respondents





**Priority Focus Area** 





Sustainable Capacity for Ongoing Displacement Avoidance




1.	Expanded Anti-Displacement Taskforce Oversight
2.	Civil Service Reclassification
3.	Special Business Certifications and Inclusive Procurement and RFP Processes
4.	Environmental Justice and Climate Resiliency Planning
5.	Rental Registration Program
6.	Joint Development Priority Permitting Program
7.	Language Justice Clearinghouse
8.	Fresno Civic Engagement Fulfillment Center
9.	Public Service Lease Incentive
10	Department of Anti-Displacement and Homelessness Intervention
11.	One-to-One Affordable Unit Replacement Action Plan
12	. Cargo/Freight Prohibition and Revenue Tax
13	. Unofficial Eviction Tracking Program
14	. Land Banks
15	. "OpenFresno" - Full Access to Data and Plans through a GeoHub Platform
16	. 2030 Development, Evaluation, and Technical Assistance Department
17	. Tax Increment Financing for Anti-Displacement Automatic Set-Aside
18	. Mobility Conditions Transportation Prioritization System

Reduce harm and provide the most immediate relief,



1	. Moratorium on Encampment Sweeps
2	. Fresno-Specific Universal Design Standards
3	. Residential Eminent Domain Moratorium
4	. Public Health Impact Reports
5	. Eviction Right-to-Counsel
6	. Displacement Burden Access Designation
7	. Tenant Buyout Regulation
8	. Mandatory Inclusion of Displacement Analysis in all CEQA Analyses
9	. "Here to Stay" Affordability Index
1	Mandatory Impact Area Notification System
1	1. Right To Return Home
1	2. Public Works Prioritization and Mobility Justice
1	3. "Here to Stay" Deposit Program
1	4. Dignified Tiny House Villages and Scattered Site Housing
1	5. Independent Youth Housing Coordination
1	6. Incentivised Eviction Mediation
1	7. "Here to Stay" Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs
1	8. Expanded Project Roomkey
1	9. Automatic Anti-Displacement Zone
2	0. Commercial Affordability Fund
2	1. Rapid Rehousing Dispatch

Responses indicating disagreement






Quantitative Summary of Digital Surveys Only

## DISPLACEMENT RISK INDICATORS

**GENERAL ANALYSIS** 



Displacement Risk Indicators Percentage of Renters





**Displacement Risk Indicators** 




27% 38,350 (apprx)






Displacement Risk Indicators Displacement Causes





**Displacement Risk Indicators** 

PARENT RESPONSES: How has displacement affected your quality of life in general?





Negative Emotional, Mental Impacts, Stress 38.2%

Quantitative Summary of Digital Surveys Only

# PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS

**BASED ON GENERAL ANALYSIS** 



# Thrivance Group's Top 10 Preliminary Recommendations

#### Policy Selection based on Equitable Analysis

#### Top Three:

- Homeowner and Renter Assistance Programs
- Deposit Program
- Fair Chance Housing

#### Additional Necessary to Respond to Displacement Risks:

- Eviction Right to Counsel
- Youth Housing Coordination
- Environmental Justice Planning
- Land Trust
- Local Hire and Living Wage Mandates
- Anti-Displacement Designation Zone
- Rent Stabilization Initiatives





# THANKYOU

